



Meeting date	<b>02.05. 2006</b>	ref./réf.	XMM-SOC_EPIC_BG_WG-003	page/page	1 7
--------------	--------------------	-----------	------------------------	-----------	--------

*date de la réunion*

Meeting place	<b>MPE Garching</b>	chairman	A. Read
<i>lieu de la réunion</i>		<i>président</i>	

Minutes' date	08.05.2006	<b>Participants</b> Andy Read (AMR): scientific chair, MOS (Leicester) Wolfgang Pietsch (WNP), pn (MPE) Michael Freyberg (MJF), pn (MPE) Jenny Carter (JC), SAS development (Leicester) Steve Snowden (SS), XMM US-Guest observers facility (Goddard) Kip Kuntz (KK), XMM US-Guest observers facility (Goddard) Silvano Molendi (SM) & student (Alberto Leccardi) Marcus Kirsch (MK), ESA coordination (as of 2006) (ESAC) Matthias Ehle (ME), ESA coordination (ESAC)  guests: Ulrich Briel, Steve Sembay, Gabriel Pratt (GP), Michael Bauer  This minutes plus related documents are all available on the web: <a href="http://www.src.le.ac.uk/projects/xmm/technical/">http://www.src.le.ac.uk/projects/xmm/technical/</a>
<i>dates de minute</i>		

Subject/objet	Minutes of meeting EPIC Background Working Group 3	copy/copi	Minutes by Matthias Ehle
		M. Turner, S. Sembay	
		L.. Metcalfe, N. Schartel	
		A. Parmar	

## 1.0 Action Items from last meetings (AMR)

- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_01: MK to ask RGS if RGS BG light curve could help EPIC screening  
**OPEN:** MK discussed with A. Pollock: currently no news but A. Pollock should be involved later (invite him for one of the next meeting)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_02: MK to change “mission BG history” information link (different name) and introduce new link for Background analysis linking to page with EPIC, RGS, OM BG information - **DONE**
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_03: ME to test and transfer WNP's script of 01\_11 to SOC thread  
**ONGOING**, to be used/tested by SAS-WS participants;  
 Under Test: analysing a common data set (at MPE & ESAC)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_04: MK to organize providing of merged closed data files for all cameras at the SOC, these files will be mirrored at Goddard, (20 Gig) , pn files to be provided by MJF, MOS files by SS  
**Partly DONE** (disk space), some description TBD by MJF (amount of exp. time, bkg level, ObsId, Tstart, Tstop, etc),
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_05: MK to send SS information how to get CALCLOSED and CLOSED data and to include SS in the CALCLOSED and CLOSED mailing list  
**DONE** but inconsistencies in ObsIds (less data than KK thinks...) SS still to be included in automatic e-mail
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_06: MK to change wording for “Files that you do need for your data analysis” to Files that you may need for your data analysis”, and separate link of Response from BG files – **Partly CLOSED:** re-wording still TBC
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_07: AMR to ask GP to provide double background subtraction tool to BGWG with the final idea to provide it as an extra tool on the Background page if possible.  
**CLOSED** ⇒ see presentation by AMR (3.2)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_08: MJF to get numbers for out-of-FOV contribution (i.e. single reflections)  
**CLOSED** ⇒ see presentation by MJF (2.4)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_09: all to provide presentations to AMR - **DONE**
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_10: all to provide proposal to AMR to link relevant papers to the BG component table – **ONGOING** (also see AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_02)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_11: ME to check with mission planning if criterion can be added for SWCX avoidance – **ONGOING** (offline between mission planning & SS)  
 An AO5 observation (100ks) in June should help to constrain model
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_12: JAC, MJF, WNP to check if OOE can be flagged on an event basis  
**CLOSED:** MJF: For PSF (PANTER) not vital but YES, it can be done on a statistical basis (on event by event basis);
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_02\_13: SS to provide task description of the Goddard BG tasks for BG page - **DONE**
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_01\_01: SS to provide by October 2005 to SOC
- Proton screening tool
  - Use of multiple light curves for screening
  - BG tool
  - Provide list of st. candles for BG analysis comparison with different tools

- Proton screening tool and multiple light curve prototype available, by end of December a SAS task version will be available for DT, aiming release for SAS 7.0 – **OVERDUE** (SAS task by B. Perry now in DT; there was a problem with Perl numerical recipes– TBC) – see new AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_07
- BG tool prototype is available for testing within BGWG, will not make it into SAS 7.0 but a non SAS version will be made available by end of March 2006 - **CLOSED**
- list of BG candles is ongoing task - **ONGOING**

AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_01\_04: AMR to invite other BG experts to next meetings and to provide possibly scripts/tasks - **ONGOING**

AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_01\_05: MJF to provide link to processed pn closed event files for all modes to MK - **DONE**

AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_01\_12: MJF: Once any BG or Closed fits files had been obtained, the user can change their CCF\_PATH etc. setup so that a new cifbuild would incorporate these extra files. This enables the BG/Closed events files (e.g. the ones used in SS's task) to be used in the SAS, without them having to be included in the CCF files. – **ONGOING** - Interface TBD (MJF & RS)

## 2 Progress Reports

### 2.1 XMM-ESAS (SS)

Chandra fits higher temperatures for clusters than XMM-Newton (e.g. Chandra 7.5 keV; XMM-Newton 6 keV); this effect is clearer for high T clusters.

XMM's broader PSF might have an effect for inner radii (but effect goes out to 3-4 arcmin); At outer radii better agreement between Chandra & XMM (modification needed for arfgen? Or a Chandra calibration effect? (in general it is hard to measure hot clusters with Chandra)

Future development plans: mosaicing (in about 6 months; as part of ESAS), pn ..

Only if quiescent particle background is removed, Temperature fits to clusters make sense (see Fig. in ESAS cookbook; SM: this holds true even in Perseus cluster);

### 2.2 Goddard background subtraction s/w (KK/SS):

MOS closed data: merged files for each CCD (sometimes two or even three states: soft below 1 keV differences) – will go into ESAS

Method: corner pixels in MOS measure particle background

Normally not enough counts  $\Rightarrow$  use entire public data (revs 25-1128)

- ⌘ 34 % of MOS data is affected by flares (cf. with policies; give number?!)
- ⌘ corner spectra templates characterized by: rate, hardness, PL index
- ⌘ rate: drop at start, stable, increase after ~700, flat again
- ⌘ hardness is temporally variable!, variation in PL index is statistical ( $\Rightarrow$  now ignored)
- ⌘ instrumental lines changes with location (Au); also the continuum

⊘ correct spectrum to the FoV: MOS1 use CCD 2, 3, 6, 7, MOS2 use 3, 4, 7

⊘ FWC data: ~1Ms, anomal: ~200ks ; not yet enough if you do annuli...

⊘ Ignore Al, Kalpha lines

⊘ Soon perl scripts only calling SAS tasks (B. Perry)

Anomalous states: different for different CCDs (not all at same time! Why?)

Always high rates & low hardness (MOS1-4, 1-5; MOS2-2, 2-5: detector noise – known! But reason unknown! SM: check patterns) check HK data? Currently ESAS does not know about this...

Other problem: MOS1-4: in some areas on the chip FWC data normal, in other areas anomal! (anonymous state (starts after ~rev 800) other chips do not show this, luckily)

⊘ Modification to ESAS: detect chip state first, extract appropriate FWC data, augmentation careful to exclude data from the wrong state (not yet), for now MOS1-4 is caveat emptor

Soft proton flare characteristics:

Light-curve cleaning minimizes flares but... it does not guarantee that soft proton flares are all removed!

⊘ must characterize the spectra: rate dependent hardness  $\Rightarrow$  can't be subtracted from object spectrum, must be fitted with bkg spectrum: problem: model (double exponential cannot be incorporated in XSPEC)  $\Rightarrow$  use broken powerlaw (almost as good; break energy stable (~3.2 keV), all other free parameter). Spatial distribution: MOS1-2 shows edge at low energies (CCD defect, also visible in X-rays!)  $\Rightarrow$  radial variations depending on energy (much flatter than X-ray profiles; independent on filter); spectrum becomes steeper with radius.

### 2.3 Blank Sky event lists and relates files (JAC/AMR)

ESAC web pages to be ready next week (MOS blank fields are already done). Should be finished end of next week! (before UG meeting)

Improved method for 'Ghosting': Filling source holes: copy events from outer ring, randomize DETX, DETY, add to event lists. Consider complicated situations (like edges, gaps).

Some sets needed to be split (limit to ftools & evselect ~2.1 GBytes).

Location dependence (script exists), rate dependence (high, medium, low – script in future)

Future Plans: Out of Time events handling & update of existing files (larger, cleaner etc); updates due to user response

### 2.4 Status of closed event files and out-of-FOV straylight (MJF)

Straylight: single reflections from parabola: shells too close

single reflections from hyperbola: typical

reflections from backside of mirror shell: - negligible

Out of window: even for EPIC pn LW mode: Out-of-Time (OoT) events

Out of time events (bad) source: EPIC-pn rows 1-12: OoT events

Optical photons

UHB 3.2.4, CCF? should take into account eff. area outside the Filed-of-View (FoV) ( $3 \text{ cm}^2$ )

Close events files: description nearly ready (during cal. meeting) ESAC web pages to be ready next week if possible (MOS blank fields are ready),

## 2.5 Web Pages (AMR)

Changes planned for section on blank sky fields & closed data (now further comments/change requests from BGWG)

## 3 New Items

### 3.1 Background treatment in spectral analysis of low surface brightness sources (SM + Student)

Outer Regions (A1689): source ~ background intensities!  $\Rightarrow$   $\chi^2$  statistics is no longer valid  
 Screening: hard & soft light curves + in FoV / out FoV ratio (paper by De Luca & Molendi 2004)

Simulations  $\Rightarrow$  best model:

bkg modelled (not subtracted!) & Cash statistics & joined probability distributions (of T: no Gaussians (rather asymmetric), not just multiplied but instead summed up – in reality: three EPIC cameras)  
 Bkg model (**above 2 keV**): NXB continuum & NXB fluorescence emission lines & cosmic XRB  
 (**hot clusters, rather hard**  $\Rightarrow$  double bkg subtraction not necessary here)

Standard analysis does not give correct results here (T too low by 10-20 %); Normalisation off by a few percent.

All in shell scripts, calling SAS tasks – could become scripts for users... (evtl. perl?)

Paper is in preparation; procedure can go public afterwards (see AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_10)

This method is only suited for hot clusters!

### 3.2 Double Subtraction (AMR)

(Method described in Arnaud et al. 2002, A&A 390, 27); Recipe & s/w provided by G. Pratt:

Lots of steps, tcsh script – calls IDL (for flare cleaning)

Very interactive; probably modelling better than subtracting... not recommended for normal users...

Summary:

- various languages used

meeting date **02.05. 2006**

ref./réf. XMM-SOC\_EPIC\_BG\_WG-003

page/page 6

date de la réunion

7

- requires manual input
- tools are very much cluster science oriented
- not a well integrated tools, i.e. no full pipeline for extended source analysis ('very useful, but very very difficult...' - statement by M. Arnaud)

G. Pratt: plans to model bkg instead of subtracting...

## **4 Final session: - Summing up**

### **4.1 AOB**

SM: Arfgen for extended sources apparently has problems (TBC with RS); SPR raised?

### **4.2 Plans for next period**

Extend SS/KK tools to pn.

### **4.3 Next meeting**

- Mallorca, Wed. 25 October 2006 (before EPIC Cal/Ops)
- BGWG members should make hotel bookings as described for EPIC Cal/Ops meeting (cf. note from S. Sembay)

**New Action Items resulting from this meeting:**

- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_00: on all: send presentations to AMR
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_01: on KK/SS: provide text & page related to MOS closed data sets (before UG meeting)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_02: on AMR: to publish new background table (with links to papers)  
 On all: provide AMR with additional links & more papers  
 On MJF: to send SPIE paper to ME for inclusion into ESAC document system
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_03: on AMR/JAC: to explain on blank sky web page when to use filled and/or unfilled data sets (recommendations); make web & files public before UG meeting
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_04: on SM: send shell script for f\_in / f\_out test for flaring bkg to AMR
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_05: on AMR: to test if sky-recast tool is working correctly on specific data set (i.e a cluster)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_06: on ME: on background page: make clear which are the old blank sky fields and that these only should be used if you know exactly what to do... (sort papers chronologically)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_07: on ME: test soft proton screening s/w SAS tool (in dev. track?, TBC)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_08: on MJF: UHB update section 3.2.4: outside FoV eff. Area (up to 80 arcmin) (before end of May to ME), link from bkg page  
 Update of CCF (currently not supported, calview, 15 arcmin, TBC)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_09: on MJF: prepare web page describing and linking to closed pn data files (before UG meeting, if possible)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_10: on SM: provide BGWG with script on bkg treatment in spectral analysis (after publication of related paper)
- AI\_EPIC\_BG\_WG\_03\_11: on AMR: check HK parameters for anomalous MOS FWC data

Possible future AI (with R. Saxton+student)?

Use SciSim to simulate cluster & bkg and test different analysis methods on it (also for Chandra simulator)