

Massive Monte Carlos For CMB Data Analysis: Planck Full Focal Plane Simulations

Julian Borrill Berkeley Lab & UC Berkeley On behalf of the Planck collaboration







- Data Processing Centres (DPC)
 - Single instrument; gory detail
 - Validate & verify characterization & pre-processing
 - Quantify residuals
- Full Focal Plane (FFP)
 - Both instruments; only key effects
 - assumes perfect pre-processing
 - includes flags, bandpasses, beams, noise
 - Validate & verify analysis pipelines
 - Quantify uncertainties & correct biases
 - Monte Carlos on supercomputers





- Single fiducial realization
 - Foregrounds + CMB + noise together
 - Used for validation & verification
- Monte Carlo simulation sets
 - CMB & noise separately
 - 100 10,000 realizations (10 1% statistics)
 - Used for uncertainty quantification & debiasing
 - Dominates computational challenge
- Distinct pipelines for fiducial, CMB MC & noise MC.





- "... It is prohibitively expensive to run a large set of end-to-end simulations that would capture all aspects of the map-making pipeline, and the noise characteristics and correlations in the actual data set." Das et al, ACT 3 Season Power Spectrum
- Not prohibitive challenging but critical.
- Operations ~ Realizations x Iterations x Samples
- For Planck 2013: 10³ x 10² x 10¹² => 10¹⁷ flops
 - Numerical prefactors: O(10 100)
 - Computational efficiency: O(10 1%)
- Requires 10⁵ 10⁷ GHz-CPU-hours





- FFP1-5 focused on simulation & analysis validation.
- FFP6 focused on uncertainties & biases.
- Generated as part of Planck 2013 data analysis:
 - 10 component fiducial foreground
 - 1,000 MC realizations
 - 40,000 timestreams
 - 250,000 maps
 - 10,000,000+ CPU hours
- The most massive CMB simulation-set ever fielded.
- 10% of what will be required for final releases.





- Planck Sky Model (Delabrouille et al)
 - Input: foreground component maps & scaling, detector bandpasses
 - Output: per-detector bandpassed component maps, strong point source catalog, CMB map
- LevelS (Reinecke et al)
 - Input: pointing, beams, detector maps & catalog
 - Output: per detector beam-convolved timestream
- MADAM/TOAST (Keihanen et al; Kisner et al)
 - Input: pointing, flags, detector timestreams
 - Output: 117 maps per component & total



Example: Fiducial Sky









- Absent bandpass & noise issues, CMB realizations can be generated primarily in the map domain.
- FEBeCoP/TOAST (Mitra et al; Kisner et al)
 - Input: pointing, flags, beams, CMB MC maps
 - Intermediate: effective beam matrix
 - weights of nearby in-pixels in each out-pixel
 - Output: beam-convolved CMB MC maps
 - Repeat for each detector/data subset





- Capturing full details of noise correlations require simulation in time-domain & mapping.
- MADAM/TOAST (Keihanen et al; Kisner et al)
 - Input: pointing, flags, noise (PSD, parameters)
 - Intermediate: on-the-fly noise timestreams
 - Output: full & half-ring noise maps
 - Repeat for each detector/data subset





- I/O optimization
 - Replace I/O with (re)calculation
 - On-the-fly pointing reconstruction
 - Sparse boresight => dense detector
 - On-the-fly timestream generation
 - PRNG => independent white noise streams
 - PSD/parameters => coloured noise
- Communication optimization
 - Reduce number and volume of messages
 - Point-to-point over global reduction





Julian Borrill – FFP Simulations

OPLANCK







- Final Planck releases require 10x MC realizations.
- Next-generation B-mode experiments will gather
 - 10x Planck: current suborbital
 - 100x Planck: future suborbital
 - 1000x Planck: future satellite
- Next-generation supercomputers will have
 - 1,000,000+ cores
 - Heterogeneous nodes
 - Varied accelerators (GPGPU, MIC, ...)
 - Limited power